



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/988,811	11/20/2001	Nick Steele	17357.2.1.2	8011
22913	7590	08/18/2010	EXAMINER	
Workman Nydegger 1000 Eagle Gate Tower 60 East South Temple Salt Lake City, UT 84111			WORJLOH, JALATEE	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3685		
		MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE
		08/18/2010		PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/988,811	Applicant(s) STEELE ET AL.
	Examiner Jalatee Worjoh	Art Unit 3685

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(o).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/31/2010.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 60-71 and 74-87 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 60-71 and 74-87 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08A)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/12/2010
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 31, 2010 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. This Office Action is responsive to the amendment filed March 31, 2010
3. Claims 60-71 and 74-87 are pending.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 60-64 and 66-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Publication No. 2009/0157531 to Bui in view of U.S. Publication NO. 2009/0125429 to Takayama.

Referring to claim 60, Bui discloses receiving a ticket at a host server from a consumer via a client device to perform a transaction, the ticket identifying selected information elements and including authorization from the client device for a vendor server to access information elements from an information account associated with the consumer and maintained in a central

data repository and accessible via a distributed network, the information account comprising a plurality of consumer information elements accessible and modifiable by the consumer; interacting by means of the host server with a vendor server to request the transaction; and accessing by means of the host server the information account to retrieve a the selected information elements and providing the selected information elements to the vendor server to complete the transaction (see Fig. 2B and related text, figures 4E, 4F, 4G, paragraphs [0054] and [0055]). Bui does not expressly disclose a wireless application gateway and the ticket being generated in response to a selection on the client device selecting one of a plurality of ticket templates, each ticket template including access privileges associated with one of a plurality of types of service providers, the ticket identifying selected information elements associated with the access privileges. Takayama discloses a wireless application gateway (see paragraph [0034]) and the ticket being generated in response to a selection on the client device selecting one of a plurality of ticket templates, each ticket template including access privileges associated with one of a plurality of types of service providers, the ticket identifying selected information elements associated with the access privileges (see paragraphs [0447], [00448], [1095], fig. 59 and related text). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Bui to include the features of Takayama. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because the ticket template provides a model for generating and issuing electronic ticket to client devices (see paragraph [1944] of Takayama).

Referring to claim 61, Bui discloses receiving consumer preferences for the transaction from the client device, and wherein interacting with the vendor server to request the transaction comprises communicating the consumer preferences to the vendor server (see paragraph [0038]).

Referring to claim 62, Bui discloses retrieving consumer preferences for the transaction from the information account; and wherein interacting with the vendor server to request the transaction further comprises communicating the consumer preferences to the vendor server (see paragraph [0038]).

Referring to claim 63, Bui discloses the method wherein the ticket from the client device further comprises authentication information; and wherein the method further comprises the step of authenticating the consumer based on the authentication information prior to interacting with the vendor database on behalf of the consumer (see paragraph [0037] and Fig. 2B).

Referring to claim 64, Bui discloses wherein the authentication information comprises consumer authentication information; and whereto authenticating the consumer comprises the verifying the consumer authentication information (see paragraph [0037]).

Referring to claim 66, Bui discloses wherein the transaction involves a vendor providing a product or service to the consumer (Fig. 2B).

Referring to claim 67, Bui discloses the method wherein the transaction involves receiving at least one data file from the vendor server and storing the data file in the information account (see paragraph [0064] and Fig. 4D – receives and stores at least the acknowledgement information).

Referring to claim 68, Bui discloses maintaining the information account in a central data repository accessible via the distributed network on behalf of the consumer (see paragraphs [Fig. 2B]).

Referring to claim 69, Bui discloses receiving an acknowledgement from the vendor server indicating that the transaction has been completed and storing the acknowledgment in the information account (see paragraphs [0064], [0065] & Fig. 4D).

Claims 70, 71, 75-82, and 84-87 are rejected on the same rationale as claims 60-69 above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claim 65, 74, and 83 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bui and Takayama as applied to claims 60 and 70 above, and further in view of U.S. Publication No. 2006/0229944 to Walker et al. ("Walker").

Referring to claim 65, Bui discloses the authentication information includes identifying information such as user name and password and verifying the user based on at least the user name and password (see paragraph [0037]). The sole difference between Bui and the claimed subject matter is that Bui does not disclose the authentication information includes equipment identifier. Walker discloses authentication information includes an equipment identifier that uniquely identifies the client device, and wherein authenticating the consumer comprises the step of verifying the equipment identifier (0157, device identifier). Since each individual element and its function are shown in the prior art, albeit shown in separate references, the difference between the claimed subject matter and the prior art rests not on any individual element or

function but in the very combination itself-that is in the substitution of the user name and password of Bui for the device identifier of Walker. Thus, the simple substitution of one known element for another producing a predictable result renders the claim obvious.

Claims 74 and 83 are rejected on the same rationale as claim 65 above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jalatee Worjloh whose telephone number is 571-272-6714. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 10:00 - 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Calvin Hewitt II can be reached on 571-272-6709. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300 for regular communications and 571-273-6714 for Non-Official /Draft.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jalatee Worjloh/

Application/Control Number: 09/988,811
Art Unit: 3685

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3685

Page 7